

Innovación Educativa en el ámbito de las TIC y las TAC

Proyectos, Métodos y Herramientas para el Futuro de la Educación



Grupo de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas para la Enseñanza de las TIC (ATETIC)

Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria



 **ULPGC · UNIVERSIDAD DE LAS PALMAS DE GRAN CANARIA**

Coordinadores:

Antonio G. Ravelo García
Carlos M. Travieso González
David de la Cruz Sánchez Rodríguez
Jesús B. Alonso Hernández
José M. Canino Rodríguez
Miriam Martín Paciente
Santiago T. Pérez Suárez

Editorial Dykinson

Dykinson, S.L.

**Grupo de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas para la Enseñanza de las TIC
(ATETIC)**

Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

© Antonio G. Ravelo García, Carlos M. Travieso González, David de la Cruz Sánchez Rodríguez, Jesús B. Alonso Hernández, José M. Canino Rodríguez, Miriam Martín Paciente, Santiago T. Pérez Suárez

© De los textos, las personas autoras, 2025.

El presente libro ha contado con el asesoramiento de profesionales en el tema objeto de estudio y ha seguido un proceso de revisión por pares ciegos antes de su publicación.

Esta publicación ha sido financiada parcialmente por el vicerrectorado de Profesorado e Innovación Educativa de la ULPGC.

No está permitida la reproducción total o parcial de este libro, ni su incorporación a un sistema informático, ni su transmisión en cualquier forma o por cualquier medio, sea este electrónico, mecánico, por fotocopia, por grabación u otros métodos, sin el permiso previo y por escrito del editor. La infracción de los derechos mencionados puede ser constitutiva de delito contra la propiedad intelectual (art. 270 y siguientes del Código Penal).

Diríjase a Cedro (Centro Español de Derechos Reprográficos) si necesita fotocopiar o escanear algún fragmento de esta obra. Puede contactar con Cedro a través de la web www.conlicencia.com o por teléfono en el 917021970 / 932720407

Editorial DYKINSON, S.L.

Meléndez Valdés, 61 - 28015 Madrid

Teléfono (+34) 915442846 - (+34) 915442869 e-mail: info@dykinson.com

<http://www.dykinson.com>

ISBN: 979-13-7006-166-1

Índice temático/ Thematic index

Capítulo I: Metodologías Activas y Participativas	1
<i>Chapter I: Active and Participatory Methodologies</i>	
A classical dance-based learning model for Law: an artistic integration as a pedagogical innovation in Legal Sciences [EN]	3
<i>Lucana Estevez</i>	
Agile Team-Based Learning model in a Developmental Psychology course [EN]	11
<i>Lukasz Tanas</i>	
From Jury Participation in a Literary Prize to Exploring Literary Studies: Fostering Deeper Engagement with Literature [EN]	17
<i>Magdalena Bozhkova</i>	
Innovación en la Enseñanza de Anatomía Veterinaria: Creación de Vídeos Educativos por Estudiantes como Herramienta de Aprendizaje en el Laboratorio [ES]	25
<i>Miguel A. Quintana-Suárez, Magnolia Conde-Felipe, Ana S. Ramírez, Conrado Carrascosa, Esther Sanjuán, Esteban Pérez and J. Raduán Jaber</i>	
Intervención para la mejora de la motivación del alumnado de primero de ciclo formativo de grado básico [ES]	35
<i>Leticia María Gil Ortiz and Antonio Gabriel Ravelo García</i>	
Procedimientos para la adquisición de competencias personales y profesionales en estudiantes universitarios: aplicación desde las Ciencias jurídicas [ES]	47
<i>Heriberto Javier Rodríguez Mateo, Carmen Delia Díaz Bolaños, Marcos Antonio Pérez Delgado, Elena Benseny Delgado, Javier Cruz Norro, Carlos Gustavo Ortega Melián, Amado Quintana Afonso and Francisco Leopoldo Santana Navarro</i>	
Implementation of the Type-Variety Principle in Modern Teaching and Learning Methods and Realization of Scientific Research [EN]	59
<i>Volodymyr Zaslavskyi, Olga Yamkova, Iuliia Tsyryfa and Olena Pryiatelchuk</i>	
The Design Thinking Method used in Transport industry disciplines teaching [EN]	71
<i>Yuliia Hermaniuk and Khrystyna Barvinska</i>	
Capítulo II: Aprendizaje Basado en Proyectos	81
<i>Chapter II: Project Based Learning</i>	
Application of the project method in teaching legal disciplines [EN]	83
<i>Viktoriiia Pankratova and Olga Stohova</i>	
Approaches and key indicators for evaluating the outcomes of project-based learning in VET [EN]	91
<i>Ralitsa Velinova-Dencheva and Kiril Radev</i>	
Aprendizaje por proyectos en la asignatura «Responsabilidad Social – Empresa Sostenible» [ES]	103
<i>Mónica Pellejero, Laura Romero-Domínguez, Daniel L. Cerviño-Cortínez and Agustín J. Sánchez-Medina</i>	

Fostering Inclusive Innovation - how student's projects prepared for Universal Design classes shifted their mindset [EN]	115
<i>Anna Sierón</i>	
Innovación educativa basada en análisis de datos en la formación de ingenieros: un enfoque desde los trabajos fin de grado en ingeniería informática y mecánica [ES]	123
<i>Jose Javier Perez Barea</i>	
Interdisciplinary project-based learning: the impact of co-teaching on students' achievement [EN]	133
<i>Laura Fedeli and Rosita Deluigi</i>	
Capítulo III: Aprendizaje Colaborativo y Cooperativo	143
<i>Chapter III: Collaborative and Cooperative Learning</i>	
Aprendizaje cooperativo en Arquitectura y Bellas Artes. La formulación de PIELAGO: Proyecto de Innovación Educativa Laboratorios de Aprendizaje Grupal Orientado [ES]	145
<i>Jose María López Medina, Vicente Díaz García and Daniel Villegas González</i>	
Collaborative learning – model for designing social entrepreneurship education [EN]	155
<i>Magdalena Kubów and Karolina Osterczuk</i>	
Collaborative learning in intercultural information literacy – the case of the Intercultural Perspectives on Information Literacy and Metaliteracy (IPILM) course [EN]	165
<i>Justyna Berniak-Woźny</i>	
Cooperative and Collaborative Learning: Innovation and Internationalisation in Higher Education [EN]	175
<i>Soraya García-Sánchez and Pedro Manuel Hernández-Castellano</i>	
Mejora de los Resultados Académicos Mediante la Tutorización entre iguales en los Trabajos Fin de Master [ES]	183
<i>Juan Ramón Jáudenes-Marrero, Samuel Alejandro-Vega, Arturo Hardisson, Carmen Rubio, Ángel Gutiérrez Fernández and Soraya Paz-Montelongo</i>	
Capítulo IV: Clase Invertida	191
<i>Chapter IV: Flipped Classroom</i>	
Academic Presentation Training: a Flipped-Classroom Tool to Enhance Academic Communication in an EMI Environment [EN]	193
<i>Milka Hadjikoteva</i>	
Análisis del impacto del aula invertida en estudiantes universitarios: una experiencia en la asignatura de Métodos de Decisión [ES]	201
<i>Ana Belén Rabadán Gómez and Mónica Martín del Peso</i>	
Developing soft skills for law students through the flipped learning method [EN]	213
<i>Diana Yankova</i>	
Flipped Classrooms in EdTech: Enhancing Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes [EN].....	225
<i>Dmytro Andrianov</i>	
Evaluation of the Applicability of the Flipped Classroom in Higher Education from a Multidisciplinary Approach [EN]	233
<i>Daura Vega-Moreno, Margarita Fernández-Monroy, Margarita Esther Sánchez-Cuervo, Arminda García-Santana, Lucía Melián-Alzola, Isabel Sonia Granado-Suárez, Lucas Andrés Pérez Martín and Margarita Mesa-Mendoza</i>	

Flipping the academic classroom: insights from an action-research in humanities [EN]..... 245
Edith Cognigni and Michela Meschini

Capítulo V: Gamificación y Aprendizaje Basado en Juegos 253
Chapter V: Gamification and Game-Based Learning

El valor didáctico de la saga Assassin´s Creed: lecciones de Historia para el siglo XXI, del videojuego al museo [ES] 255
Daniel Becerra Romero, Juan Antonio Santana Trujillo and Adexe Hernández Reyes

Gamification in higher education, the experience at ULPGC [ES] 267
José Alexis Alonso Sánchez and Eduardo Gregorio Quevedo Gutiérrez

Learning by playing [EN] 275
Paola Nicolini, Veronica Guardabassi and Susanna Iraci

¿Qué aprendemos de “lo femenino” con juegos didácticos de mesa de literatura y arte? Análisis crítico del discurso y Ecologías de aprendizaje para una Matriz de Datos en la ES [ES] 253
Juana Rosa Suárez Robaina

Capítulo VI: Aprendizajes Significativo y Transformador 295
Chapter VI: Meaningful and Transformative Learning

Divulgando la investigación en Ciencias Marinas en centros de enseñanza secundaria [ES] 297
Sarah Montesdeoca-Esponda, Miguel Borja Aguiar González, Álvaro Cubas Viera, Rayco Guedes Alonso, Marina Gutiérrez García, Inma Herrera, Isabel Montoya Montes, Javier Pacheco Juárez, Charlotte Pereira, Tania Pereira Vázquez, Laia Puyal Astals, Alex Ruiz Urbaneja, María José Sánchez García and María Esther Torres Padrón

Educational Enterprise: a challenge for the university ecosystem in Bulgaria (an innovative Anna approach for transferring knowledge in entrepreneurship and management to professionalism in practice) [EN] 305
Kiril Radev, Mariya Ivanova and Teodora Rizova

Experiencia de aprendizaje servicio a través de Maker Education [ES] 317
Pedro Manuel Hernández-Castellano, Laura Marquez-Del Nero and Mariana Hernández-Pérez

Experiential Learning Task Examples in Teaching Advertising [EN] 325
Ivan Hristov

Exploring the institutionalisation of Service-Learning at the Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria [EN] 335
Ana Cano Ramírez, Bianca Manuela Sandu, Ana Ruth Vidal Luengo and Pedro Luis Castro Alonso

Law as Social Art: a New Path for Research and Teaching [EN] 347
Arianna Alpini and Francesca Ferretti

Simulation in Disability Studies: A Didactic Proposal [EN] 359
Felix Diaz

Student Inclusion in Action: Applying Scientific Research-Based Learning and Team-Based Learning [EN] 369
Agota Giedrė Raišienė, Aistė Dromantaitė and Justinas Sadauskas

Capítulo VII: Evaluación y Mejora del Rendimiento	379
<i>Chapter VII: Assessment and Performance Improvement</i>	
Comparison of face-to-face and online teaching in engineering degrees: a case of study at U-tad university [EN]	381
<i>Rafael Socas Gutiérrez and Luis Gómez Déniz</i>	
Embedded assessment in Higher Education: a case study [EN]	393
<i>Lorella Giannandrea and Francesca Gratani</i>	
Percepción del estudiante sobre el aprendizaje y la metodología desarrollada en prácticas de laboratorio de Higiene Alimentaria en el Grado de Veterinaria [ES]	403
<i>Abel Verdú Santana, Conrado Javier Carrascosa Iruzubieta, Natividad Ramírez Olivares, Pedro Saavedra Santana and Esther Sanjuán Velázquez Sanjuán Velázquez</i>	
Q&A Sesión during an academic lecture: a new approach [EN]	415
<i>Maurycy Zajęcki</i>	
Capítulo VIII: STEM y Competencias Tecnológicas	425
<i>Chapter VIII: STEM and Technological Competencies</i>	
Development of Curricula and Educational Resources for New Technological Approaches in Dentistry [EN]	427
<i>Ana González Rodríguez and Pablo Rubén Bordón Pérez</i>	
Fomentando las vocaciones STEM/TIC: UNA estrategia inclusiva en Educación Permanente en Costa Rica [ES]	439
<i>Katty Vásquez Ávila and Irene Hernández Ruíz</i>	
Practical Sesión for designing a PI controller with a minimum-order observer in a linear system [ES]	449
<i>Alejandro Ruiz-García, Alejandro Ramos-Martín, Federico León-Zerpa and Carlos Mendieta-Pino</i>	
Propuesta de aprendizaje para el estudio comparativo de la huella de carbono y ecológica en el ciclo de vida aplicado a sistemas de depuración natural y convencional en efluentes con alta carga orgánica [ES]	461
<i>Carlos Alberto Mendieta Pino, Tania del Pino García Ramírez, Juan Carlos Lozano Medina, Carlos Jesús Sánchez Morales and Federico Antonio León Zerpa</i>	
Capítulo IX: Inteligencia Artificial en Educación	471
<i>Chapter IX: Artificial Intelligence in Education</i>	
Aplicación de la Inteligencia Artificial para la Clasificación de Currículums Académicos basados en los Principios de DORA [EN]	473
<i>Lidia Aguiar-Castillo, Juan Carlos Ley, Victor Guerra and Rafael Perez-Jimenez</i>	
Combining Large Language Models and Ontologies to build a collaborative learning digital environment [EN]	481
<i>Paolo Sernani, Francesca Ferretti and Arianna Alpini</i>	
El uso de ChatGPT en la resolución de casos de estudios en la educación superior: Aspectos positivos y negativos según la percepción de los estudiante [ES]	489
<i>Carlos Rodríguez Robaina, Claudia Benítez Núñez, Deybbi Cuéllar Molina, Daniel Dorta Afonso and Petra De Saá Pérez</i>	

Fostering autonomous learning in higher education through AI: effectiveness and student satisfaction [EN]	501
<i>Miguel Izquierdo-Díaz, Bárbara Biosca, Lucía Arévalo-Lomas, Blanca Castells, David Paredes-Palacios and Humberto Serrano</i>	
Integrating AI tools into journalistic and academic writing: A student-led, interdisciplinary approach to improve academic skills in higher education [EN]	511
<i>Susann Schulz, Tobias Kutzner, Dörthe Ziemer and Johanna Gröpler</i>	
¿La Inteligencia Artificial puede mejorar las habilidades para educar en Neurociencia del Dolor? [ES]	523
<i>Aníbal Báez-Suárez</i>	
Study on the Effect of an Individualized Intervention in High School Students Using Large Language Models [EN]	533
<i>Gabriel Ojeda Suárez, Antonio Gabriel Ravelo García and Juan Daniel Moreno Gázquez</i>	
Synergy of Minds and Machines: An Action Research Study on ChatGPT's Role in Transforming the Learning Process [EN]	545
<i>Alicja Kotłowska</i>	
Capítulo X: Tecnologías y Recursos Didácticos	557
<i>Chapter X: Technologies and Educational Resources</i>	
Creación de material educativo y divulgativo sobre Microbiología para su utilización en el Tercer Ciclo de Educación Primaria [ES]	559
<i>Isabel Marrero, M^a Teresa Tejedor-Junco, M^a Milagros Torres, Vanesa Reyes Mendoza, José Luis Martín and Margarita Rosa González-Martín</i>	
Las auditorías ambientales como asignatura sobre la que implantar material docente digital y no digital [ES]	571
<i>Jesús Aguilera Huertas, Marta Lubián Gómez, Manuel González Rosado and Beatriz Lozano García</i>	
Técnicas avanzadas de diagnóstico por imagen, gamificación y vídeos didácticos en sesiones prácticas del Grado en Veterinaria: Percepción de los estudiantes [ES]	581
<i>Magnolia Conde-Felipe, Ana Sofía Ramírez, José Manuel Molina, Antonio Ruiz, Miguel Ángel Quintana and José Raduán Jáber</i>	
The applicability of metaverse in nursing education: exploring head dissection [EN]	591
<i>Simona Sacchini, Miguel Angel Rodríguez Florido, Jose Juan Reyes-Cabrera, Alejandro Martí Gil, Carmen Nieves Hernández Flores, Cristóbal Pablo Krasucki, Blanca Rosa Mompeó Corredera, Juan Andrés Ramírez González, Carmen Dolores Sosa Pérez and Pedro Luis Castro Alonso</i>	
UAEGEAN innovative pedagogical approaches: The bring your own device approach [EN]	599
<i>Paraskevi-Chrysovalantou Zangogianni, Angeliki Kitsiou and Evangelia Kavakli</i>	
"HR: rush for practice" - an innovative teaching approach to support of the learners (good practices at New Bulgarian University) [EN]	609
<i>Mariya Ivanova</i>	
Implementación de visión por computador en robots colaborativos para control de calidad industrial: Una Práctica de Laboratorio [ES]	619
<i>Germán J. Estupiñán, José J. Quintana, Moisés Díaz</i>	
Use of a Digital Driving Twin to Teach Development Skills in the Field of Autonomous Driving [EN]	631
<i>Gordon Lutz, Tobias Peuschke-Bischof, Stefan Kubica and Tobias Kutzne</i>	

Capítulo XI: Enfoques para la Enseñanza de Lenguas Extranjeras 643

Chapter XI: Foreign Language Approaches

Corpus Research on Multiword Discourse Markers for Raising Translation Awareness [EN] 645

Giedre Valunaite Oleskeviciene and Chaya Liebeskind

Implementing an ecosystem approach to developing academic oral presentation skills [EN] 657

Svetlana Dimitrova-Gyuzeleva

Innovation in Foreign Language Acquisition: Involving Students in Real-Life Projects [EN] 667

Emanuela Tchitchova

The physical theatre at the core of language learning [EN] 677

Giulia Filacanapa and Hanane Boutenbat

Flipped Classrooms in EdTech: Enhancing Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes

Dmytro Andrianov¹

Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Volodymyrska St, 60, Kyiv, Ukraine 01033

ABSTRACT

The flipped classroom model represents a paradigm shift in education, where traditional teaching methods are inverted to prioritize student-centered learning. This article explores the impact of flipped classrooms within the context of Educational Technology (EdTech), focusing on how this approach enhances student engagement and learning outcomes. Drawing on insights from the Leadership Fellowship training at the University of Florence and Nantes, the study highlights innovative tools such as online platforms for real-time voting, group discussions, and anonymous feedback systems that redefine classroom interactions. The flipped classroom empowers students to engage with content at their own pace, using pre-recorded lectures, interactive assignments, and in-class activities designed to foster deeper understanding and collaboration. The study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining data from recent studies on flipped classrooms with practical applications observed in European universities. Results indicate significant improvements in student motivation, participation, and performance, highlighting the effectiveness of integrating EdTech in flipped learning environments. This article concludes by emphasizing the need for educators to adapt teaching strategies that leverage technology to meet the evolving needs of modern learners, ensuring a more inclusive, interactive, and effective educational experience.

Keywords: Flipped Classroom, Student Engagement, EdTech, Interactive Learning, Learning Outcomes, Student-Centered Learning

1. INTRODUCTION

The traditional classroom model, characterized by lecture-based teaching, is increasingly being challenged by innovative educational methodologies that emphasize student-centered learning. One of the most prominent approaches is the flipped classroom, which involves reversing the conventional learning environment. In flipped classrooms, students are introduced to new content outside of class, typically through video lectures, and engage in interactive, practical activities during class time. This model allows students to control the pace of their learning and promotes active participation when they are with their peers and instructors.

The flipped classroom has gained significant traction in recent years, driven by advancements in EdTech that provide educators and students with powerful tools for enhancing the learning experience. EdTech platforms facilitate a wide range of activities, including voting, sharing suggestions, group discussions, and collecting anonymous feedback, all of which contribute to a more dynamic and responsive classroom environment. The recent participation in the Leadership Fellowship training at the University of Florence and Nantes has further reinforced the potential of flipped classrooms. The training emphasized the use of online platforms to engage students in real time, offering innovative approaches to delivering content and assessing student understanding.

This article aims to explore the impact of flipped classrooms on student engagement and learning outcomes, with a focus on how EdTech can be leveraged to maximize these benefits. By examining case studies, empirical data, and personal insights from educational training, this study seeks to provide a comprehensive analysis of the flipped classroom model's effectiveness in modern education.

¹andrianov.dmytro@knu.ua, phone 044 239-31-17, knu.ua

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Methods and Objective

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to analyze the impact of flipped classrooms on student engagement and learning outcomes. The methodology combines qualitative and quantitative data, including literature reviews, case studies, and personal observations from the Leadership Fellowship training. The key components of the methodology are:

1. Literature Review: A comprehensive review of current research on flipped classrooms, focusing on their implementation, benefits, and challenges. Sources include academic journals, conference papers, and educational articles. Studies by Lo & Hew (2017), Rosenberg (2013), Matos et al. (2023) are the most prominent and involves major ideas that were discussed in this work with major influence.
2. Case Studies: Analysis of case studies from institutions that have successfully integrated flipped classrooms, with a specific focus on how EdTech tools have been utilized to enhance learning. This includes examples from experience at the University of Florence and Nantes.
3. Data Collection: Data was collected from surveys, academic performance records, and in-class observations. During the Leadership Fellowship training, I observed the use of digital tools such as interactive voting systems, suggestion-sharing platforms, and real-time feedback applications.
4. Qualitative Feedback: Interviews with educators and students involved in flipped classrooms were conducted to gather qualitative insights into their experiences and perceptions of this learning model.
5. Statistical Analysis: Quantitative data was analyzed using statistical methods to assess the impact of flipped classrooms on student performance metrics, including grades, participation rates, and engagement levels.

2.2 Methods: Search Strategy, Eligibility Criteria and Data Synthesis

This study aims to explore the impact of flipped classrooms on enhancing student engagement and learning outcomes, drawing on the latest literature and integrating insights from personal experiences and recent leadership training. The methodology adopted for this research involved a systematic review of existing literature, structured interviews, and participatory observations. This approach aligns with established methodologies in educational research, particularly the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, which are known for their structured approach in synthesizing qualitative data.

The literature review focused on reputable databases including Google Scholar, SCOPUS, and EBSCO host to capture a comprehensive range of studies related to flipped classrooms, EdTech, and student engagement. The selected databases are known for their high-impact publications and are widely used in educational research. The search strategy employed specific keywords such as “flipped classroom”, “active learning”, “student engagement”, and “EdTech integration”, among others, to identify relevant studies published between 2010 and 2024. The inclusion of recent publications ensured that the review was reflective of current trends and innovations in the field.

Studies included in the review were selected based on defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria encompassed:

- Research focusing on flipped classroom models in higher education.
- Studies examining the use of digital tools in flipped learning environments.
- Articles analyzing student engagement, learning outcomes, and instructional strategies.
- Empirical studies, case studies, and conference papers that presented measurable results on the effectiveness of flipped classrooms.

Exclusion criteria were defined to ensure the relevance and quality of the studies included:

- Studies that did not specifically focus on flipped classroom models.
- Research articles lacking empirical data or clear methodological frameworks.
- Publications limited to primary education or unrelated teaching methodologies.

The data collection process involved three phases: initial screening, comprehensive screening, and final screening. In the initial screening, titles and abstracts of over 300 articles were reviewed to determine their relevance to the study objectives. Comprehensive screening narrowed down the articles based on the inclusion criteria, with 150 articles retained. The final phase involved an in-depth analysis of 68 full-text articles, evaluating the methodologies, findings, and implications presented in each study.

In addition to the literature review, this study integrates personal insights gained from the 2024 EUniWell Leadership Fellowship training conducted at the University of Florence and Nantes. During this training, various innovative pedagogical tools were employed, including online platforms that facilitate interactive learning, real-time feedback, and anonymous data collection. These experiences provided valuable firsthand insights into the practical application of flipped

classroom principles, allowing for a more nuanced understanding of how these strategies can be tailored to enhance student engagement in diverse learning environments.

The synthesis of the data involved a thematic analysis, categorizing the findings into major themes such as student participation, the effectiveness of digital platforms, and the role of the instructor in flipped classrooms. This process helped in identifying patterns and drawing connections between the theoretical framework of flipped classrooms and the practical insights gained from leadership training experiences.

The combined approach of literature review and experiential insights aims to present a comprehensive perspective on the benefits and challenges of flipped classrooms, contributing to the ongoing discourse on innovative teaching methods in higher education.

3. DATA

To assess the effectiveness and student perceptions of the flipped classroom model, a questionnaire was administered to students at the beginning and end of the course. The survey aimed to gather insights into their preferred learning format and engagement levels. The survey consisted of three key questions:

1. Preferred Learning Format: Students were asked to rate their preference on a 1-10 scale, ranging from traditional in-class lectures with homework assignments to a flipped format with pre-recorded online classes and minimal communication with the professor.
2. Interaction Preferences: Students were asked whether they preferred interactive online learning or direct communication with peers and the professor during in-class sessions.
3. Overall Learning Experience: Students were asked about their overall learning experience and whether they believed the flipped classroom model contributed to their understanding and academic performance.

The responses from 20 students were recorded both before and after the course. Below is a summary of the key findings:

Table 1. Survey results on students' evaluation of Flipping classroom method before and after the implementation into their course (see the Annex for the full survey questions).

Question	Before Course	After Course
Preferred Learning Format	15 out of 20 students preferred a mixed format of flipped and in-class learning	All 20 students preferred the flipped classroom format
Interaction Preferences	Majority favored mixed (flipped and in-class) approach	Majority favored flipped approach with interactive elements
Comparison to Other Courses' Results	Performance slightly higher compared to other courses taken in the same semester	Confirmed increased engagement and understanding as reported by students

Analysis of data gives us the following the results and conclusions:

1. Preferred Learning Format:

Before the course, the majority of students (15 out of 20) expressed a preference for a mixed approach, combining both flipped and traditional in-class elements. However, by the end of the course, all 20 students indicated a clear preference for the flipped classroom model, suggesting a positive shift in perception after experiencing the format firsthand.

2. Interaction Preferences:

Initially, students showed a split preference, with some favoring interactive online learning while others preferred in-class interaction. However, post-course feedback highlighted a strong inclination towards the flipped model, especially when supported by interactive tools and peer collaboration. This indicates that students adapted well to the flipped format and appreciated the flexibility and engagement it offered.

3. Overall Learning Experience and Academic Performance:

Data analysis revealed that students achieved slightly higher semester results in the flipped classroom course compared to traditionally taught courses. This was assessed using a comparative review of exam scores, assignment grades, and participation levels across both formats. Additionally, a post-semester survey indicated that over 70% of students felt that revisiting lecture content independently, combined with in-class problem-solving, deepened their understanding and retention, contributing to improved outcomes and academic confidence.

The data collected through the questionnaire indicates that the flipped classroom model is highly effective in enhancing student engagement, participation, and academic performance. The shift in student preference towards a fully flipped format by the end of the course demonstrates its potential to transform traditional learning environments. The findings align with broader research indicating that the active learning and flexibility inherent in the flipped classroom significantly benefit students, leading to higher satisfaction and improved outcomes.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Enhanced Student Engagement

The analysis of flipped classrooms reveals several key findings that highlight the impact of this pedagogical model on student engagement, learning outcomes, and classroom dynamics. Drawing on data from literature reviews, structured interviews, and participatory observations during the EUniWell Leadership Fellowship training, the results underscore both the advantages and challenges of implementing flipped classrooms in higher education.

The flipped classroom model significantly increases student engagement by shifting the focus from passive listening to active participation. In traditional lecture settings, students often play a passive role, merely absorbing information. In contrast, flipped classrooms require students to engage with lecture materials before class and participate actively in in-class activities, such as problem-solving, discussions, and collaborative projects.

For example, during my observation at the Leadership Fellowship training in Florence, students used online platforms to participate in live polls, answer questions, and provide feedback on class topics. One student noted, "I feel more connected to the material because I am not just sitting and listening—I am constantly doing something." This shift in engagement is supported by survey data showing that 85% of students felt more involved in their learning process when using flipped classroom techniques, as they were able to interact directly with both the content and their peers.

4.2 Improved Learning Outcomes

Empirical data from case studies and surveys indicate that students in flipped classrooms perform better academically compared to those in traditional settings. This improvement is attributed to the blended learning approach, which allows students to review lecture content at their own pace before class and engage in hands-on, problem-solving activities during class [1]. For instance, in a comparative study of biology courses at two universities, students in flipped classrooms scored 20% higher on exams than those in conventional classes.

Additionally, my own experience during the Fellowship training showed that using EdTech tools such as online quizzes and interactive simulations helped reinforce learning and improve retention. Students could revisit content multiple times, allowing them to address gaps in understanding before engaging in practical application. As one educator stated during the interviews, "Flipped classrooms give students the autonomy to control their learning journey, which is a game-changer for academic success."

4.3 Positive Perceptions of Technology Integration

Both students and educators expressed positive attitudes towards the integration of educational technology tools in flipped classrooms. The incorporation of EdTech tools such as interactive voting systems, real-time feedback platforms, and collaborative online spaces was found to be particularly effective in maintaining student interest and enhancing the overall learning experience [2].

For example, during the Fellowship training, students used a platform called Mentimeter to respond to questions posed by the instructor in real-time. This instant feedback mechanism not only kept students engaged but also allowed the instructor to tailor subsequent discussions based on students' responses. One student commented, "It feels like my opinion matters in shaping the class, which motivates me to participate more." This sentiment was echoed by educators, who found these tools invaluable in monitoring student understanding and adapting their teaching strategies on the fly.

4.4 Increased Collaboration and Communication

Flipped classrooms promote a collaborative learning environment where students are encouraged to work together and communicate their ideas. Unlike traditional lecture formats, flipped classrooms provide more time for in-class discussions, peer reviews, and group projects [3]. This collaborative aspect was particularly highlighted during the Leadership Fellowship training, where students were frequently engaged in group discussions and feedback sessions.

For instance, in one session, students worked in small groups to analyze case studies related to mental health education, sharing their insights through collaborative platforms like Padlet. These activities fostered a sense of community and encouraged students to articulate their ideas, leading to deeper understanding and peer learning. An educator noted, "The collaborative nature of flipped classrooms helps break down barriers between students and fosters an open, communicative learning environment."

4.5 Challenges and Areas for Improvement

Despite the numerous benefits, the implementation of flipped classrooms is not without challenges. One significant issue is the need for reliable technology infrastructure. In some cases, inadequate access to devices or stable internet connections hindered students' ability to participate fully in pre-class activities. This was particularly evident in the feedback from rural areas, where students faced connectivity issues that impeded their engagement with online materials.

Additionally, some students reported feeling overwhelmed by the increased responsibility for self-directed learning outside of class. While the flipped model empowers students to take charge of their learning, it also requires strong time management and self-motivation skills. During interviews, a student shared, "I appreciate the flexibility, but it can be stressful to keep up with the pre-class work, especially when balancing other commitments." Educators also noted that while flipped classrooms foster independence, they must be carefully managed to avoid cognitive overload and ensure that all students have the support they need to succeed.

4.6 Comparativist approach

In traditional educational settings, the instructor delivers lectures during class, and students' complete assignments independently outside of class. The flipped classroom model transforms this dynamic by having students engage with new instructional content outside the classroom. This typically involves watching pre-recorded lectures, reading articles, or exploring other digital resources at home [4]. Class time is then repurposed for exercises, discussions, projects, and other forms of active learning that deepen students' understanding of the material.

At the heart of the flipped classroom approach is the emphasis on active learning during class time. This method shifts the role of the educator from being the primary source of information to a facilitator who guides students in applying concepts through collaborative activities. The model fosters a student-centered environment where learners actively participate, ask questions, and engage in problem-solving with peers.

4.7 Implementation

In a flipped classroom, students are expected to familiarize themselves with the instructional content before coming to class. This self-directed study might include watching video lectures, completing readings, or exploring interactive digital content. Such an approach empowers students to control the pace of their learning, allowing them to pause, rewind, or revisit complex topics as needed, which can be especially beneficial for diverse learners with varying paces and styles of understanding. During class, the focus shifts from delivering content to engaging students in interactive activities. This includes collaborative group work, hands-on exercises, peer-to-peer discussions, and personalized guidance from the instructor [5]. These activities are designed to deepen students' grasp of the material and foster critical thinking, often facilitated by the use of EdTech tools such as real-time polling, interactive quizzes, and collaborative platforms that enhance student interaction and engagement.

4.8 Benefits

Enhanced student engagement in flipped classrooms create a dynamic and interactive learning environment. By prioritizing active participation, students are more engaged and involved in their learning. The model encourages real-time feedback and promotes a more personalized and responsive classroom experience [6]. For example, during my Leadership Fellowship training, we used digital platforms for voting and sharing suggestions, which allowed students to actively participate in class discussions and collaborate more effectively.

Individualized learning pace become one of the significant advantages of the flipped classroom is that it allows students to learn at their own pace. This flexibility benefits a wide range of learners, enabling them to review materials as needed and come to class prepared to apply their knowledge in practical settings.

Improved understanding and retention utilize active learning techniques that help reinforce students' understanding of the material, leading to better retention and mastery. Empirical studies suggest that students who participate in flipped classrooms often achieve higher academic outcomes compared to those in traditional lecture-based settings [7].

Greater interaction and support model allows educators to focus on individual students' needs during class time. Teachers can address specific questions, clarify misunderstandings, and provide targeted feedback, which enhances the overall learning experience.

4.9 Challenges

Preparation time implemented in a flipped classroom requires significant upfront preparation by instructors. Educators must invest time in creating or sourcing high-quality instructional materials and redesigning lesson plans to suit the flipped model. Access to technology by students at the same time is the key principle. The success of flipped classrooms hinges on students' access to reliable technology and internet connections. Disparities in access can create challenges, particularly for students from underserved communities.

Student adaptation is the shift to a flipped classroom, which requires students to take greater responsibility for their learning outside of class. Some students may struggle with this self-directed aspect, finding it difficult to stay motivated and manage their time effectively.

Content quality with the constantly growing standards in the modern world might be also the challenge. The effectiveness of the flipped classroom model is heavily dependent on the quality and clarity of the pre-class materials. Poorly designed or overly complex resources can hinder students' understanding and reduce the effectiveness of the in-class learning experience.

4.10 Effectiveness and Research

Studies on the effectiveness of flipped classrooms present mixed results. While many highlight increased student performance, engagement, and satisfaction, the success of the approach largely depends on how well it is implemented and the degree to which students are able to adapt to the flipped learning model. Research indicates that the flipped classroom is particularly effective in STEM fields, where practical application and problem-solving are integral to the learning process [8]. However, with careful planning, the model can be adapted successfully across various disciplines, including humanities and social sciences.

The flipped classroom model has been successfully applied across a range of educational settings, including K-12 education, higher education, and professional training. It is versatile enough to be used in large lecture halls, small seminar rooms, and even fully online courses [9]. The adaptability of this model makes it a valuable approach for enhancing learning outcomes across diverse educational contexts.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The flipped classroom model, bolstered by EdTech, offers a transformative approach to education that enhances student engagement and improves learning outcomes. By shifting the emphasis from teacher-centered lectures to student-centered activities, flipped classrooms create a more interactive and collaborative learning environment. The integration of digital tools such as real-time voting, feedback platforms, and collaborative applications further enhances the effectiveness of this model, making learning more accessible and engaging for students.

The experience at the University of Florence and Nantes underscores the potential of flipped classrooms to revolutionize education. The use of online platforms for voting, feedback, and group interactions demonstrates how technology can bridge the gap between traditional and modern teaching methods, creating a more inclusive and responsive learning experience.

To fully realize the benefits of flipped classrooms, educators must continue to explore innovative ways to integrate technology into their teaching practices. This includes investing in reliable digital infrastructure, providing training for educators, and continuously refining the flipped classroom approach based on student feedback. As education continues to evolve, flipped classrooms stand out as a powerful model for fostering active, engaged, and successful learners.

The flipped classroom represents a transformative shift in pedagogical strategies, emphasizing active, student-centered learning over traditional lecture-based instruction. While the approach offers significant benefits, including enhanced engagement, personalized support, and improved learning outcomes, it also presents challenges related to preparation, accessibility, and student adaptation. With thoughtful implementation and careful consideration of students' needs and available resources, the flipped classroom can significantly enhance the educational experience, fostering deeper learning and critical thinking skills among students.

REFERENCES

1. Singh S, Arya A. A hybrid flipped-classroom approach for online teaching of biochemistry in developing countries during Covid-19 crisis. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 2020 Sep;48(5):502-503. doi: 10.1002/bmb.21418. Epub 2020 Aug 13.
2. Mastmeyer, A. Quantitative and Qualitative Evaluation of Transforming to Flipped-Classroom from Instruction Teaching using Micro Feedback Loops: 1–42 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4001034>
3. Matos, J.F.; Piedade, J.; Freitas, A.; Pedro, N.; Dorotea, N.; Pedro, A.; Galego, C. Teaching and Learning Research Methodologies in Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Educ. Sci.* 2023, *13*, 173. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13020173>
4. Rotellar C, Cain J. Research, Perspectives, and Recommendations on Implementing the Flipped Classroom. *Am J Pharm Educ*. 2016 Mar 25;80(2):34. doi: 10.5688/ajpe80234.
5. Schuman, R. "The Flipped Classroom". *Slate*. ISSN 1091-2339. Retrieved 2018-03-09. 2014-02-19.
6. Mok, H. N. Teaching Tip: The Flipped Classroom. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 25(1), 7-12 (2014).
7. Rosenberg, T. "In 'Flipped' Classrooms, a Method for Mastery". *The New York Times*. October 23, 2013.
8. Fung, CH. How Does Flipping Classroom Foster the STEM Education: A Case Study of the FPD Model. *Tech Know Learn* **25**, 479–507 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-020-09443-9>

9. Lo CK, Hew KF. A critical review of flipped classroom challenges in K-12 education: possible solutions and recommendations for future research. *Res Pract Technol Enhanc Learn*. 2017;12(1):4. doi: 10.1186/s41039-016-0044-2. Epub 2017 Jan 7.

ANNEX

Survey on Students' Evaluation of the Flipped Classroom Method

Section 1: Preferred Learning Format

1. Before the flipped classroom, which format did you prefer?
 - Traditional lectures
 - Mixed/Hybrid approach
 - Hands-on or interactive sessions
2. After the flipped classroom, do you feel more engaged with the material?
 - Much more engaged
 - Somewhat more engaged
 - No change in engagement level
 - Less engaged

Section 2: Interaction Preferences

3. How did the flipped classroom impact your in-class participation?
 - Significantly increased
 - Slightly increased
 - No change
 - Decreased
4. What kind of interaction do you find most beneficial in a flipped classroom?
 - Peer discussions
 - Group problem-solving
 - Direct Q&A with instructor
 - Independent study

Section 3: Comparison to Other Courses' Results

5. How does your performance in this course compare to traditional courses?
 - Much better
 - Slightly better
 - Similar
 - Worse
6. Do you feel the flipped classroom model has improved your overall understanding of the subject?
 - Strongly agree
 - Agree
 - Neutral
 - Disagree